VIRTUE OF NECESSITY
Thanne is it wysdom, as it thynketh me,
To maken vertu of necessitee,
And take it weel that we may nat eschue,
And namely that to us alle is due. . . .
The contrarie of al this is wilfulnesse.

Chaucer's Knight's Theseus
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