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O how sweet life was when we used to sit at leisure amid the book boxes of a learned man, piles of books, and the venerable thoughts of the Fathers; nothing was missing that was needed for religious life and the pursuit of knowledge.

—ALCUIN

A lcuin's nostalgic lament, written by a worried master to a former pupil traveling in distant and pestilent Italy (Italia firma), captures the essence of learning in the early Middle Ages. Written sometime between 793 and Alcuin's death in 804, this touching portrait of the life of scholarship, with its emphasis on tranquility, close personal bonds between master and student, and communion with great minds, might have been written in any medieval century. But Alcuin was writing in the Carolingian century at a time when education and learning were being radically transformed.

Alcuin, an Anglo-Saxon born around 730 and educated at York, represents part of that transformation. Many like him who had been educated in the cathedral and monastic schools of England, Ireland, Spain, and Italy no doubt had been destined to replace their own masters. Instead, as adults they found themselves transplanted to the kingdoms of the Franks, where their learning, pedagogical skills, and books were put to a new task. That task, the effort to harness the quiet pursuit of religious life and wisdom to broad social reform, forced Carolingian leaders to think about schooling and how it might be used to achieve their goals.

Two documents issued in the name of Charlemagne (ca. 742–814), one
in March 789 and the other sometime during the 790s, might well be con-
considered the manifestos of the Carolingian educational reform movement.
The *Admonitio generalis* and the *Epistola de litteris colendis*, both of which
bear the impress of Alcuin’s mind, provide good starting points for a foray
into the world of Carolingian schools.²

The *Epistola de litteris colendis* was directed to the monasteries of the
Carolingian realms. This brief letter was prompted by the poor literary
quality of letters monks had been sending to the royal court. The evident
piety of the monks was poorly served by their clumsy prose. Charlemagne
was convinced that monks had to be schooled in the study of *litterae*, Latin
letters, in order to understand the message of the Scriptures and to be able
to convey its spiritual meaning to others:

For we want you, as befits the soldiers of the Church, to be inwardly
devout and outwardly learned, pure in good living and scholarly in
speech; so that whoever comes to see you in the name of God and
for the inspiration of your holy converse, just as he is strengthened
by the sight of you, so he may be instructed also by your wisdom,
both in reading and chanting, and return rejoicing, giving thanks to
Almighty God.³

The *Admonitio generalis*, as its title implies, was a more far-reaching doc-
ument. Addressed to all the ranks of the clergy and secular leaders alike, it
drew its inspiration from the example of Josiah, the Hebrew king who cor-
rected his wayward people and restored them to the “words of the book
of the law” (2 Kings 22:11). Josiah became a model of Carolingian
kingship—later in the ninth century, Charlemagne’s grandson, Charles the
Bald (823–77), would be depicted in an illustration in his Psalter as a king
in the tradition of Josiah and Theodosius—another lawgiver.⁴ In the con-
text of the *Admonitio generalis*, the example of Josiah was evoked just be-
fore the presentation of eighty-two articles that were intended “to correct
what is erroneous, to cut away what is inadmissible, to strengthen what is
right.”⁵ Fifty-nine of the eighty-two articles derive from the *Dionysio-
Hadriana*, a collection of canons that Charlemagne had requested from
Pope Hadrian I (r. 772–95). Amid the canons addressing clerical disci-
pline, encouraging the observance of the Sabbath, and inveighing against
sin and abuses of all kinds, one specifically obliged monks and priests to
conduct themselves in a praiseworthy manner and to establish schools

that many may be drawn to God’s service by their upright way of life
and they may gather and associate to themselves not only children of
servile condition but also the sons of freemen. And let schools for teaching boys the psalms, the *nota*, singing, computation and grammar be created in every monastery and episcopal residence. And correct catholic books properly, for often, while people want to pray to God in the proper fashion, they yet pray improperly because of uncorrected books. And do not allow your boys to corrupt them, either in reading or in copying; and if there is need to copy the gospel, Psalter or missal, let men of full age do the writing, with all diligence.6

These two documents prompt several observations about the nature of Carolingian educational reform policy. First, its focus was almost exclusively on the clergy or those intended for the clerical state. One might also observe the rudimentary and practical nature of the recommendations in the two documents: emphasis fell on the proper understanding and use of language; on mastery of Tironian notes, chant, and computus or calculation; and, of course, on proper manuscript copying. Most significant of all, these documents were intended to establish kingdom-wide policy—the *Admonitionis generalis* by its very nature and also by the requirement that every cathedral and monastery establish schools, and the *Epistola de litteris colendis* by the injunction that copies be sent to all bishops and monasteries. Cassiodorus planned to establish a school in Rome in the sixth century; the Council of Vaison (529) ordained the creation of rural schools; and the Rules of Benedict, the Master, and other monastic founders made provisions for teaching and learning. Individual secular leaders also encouraged learning in the early Middle Ages, but the Carolingian documents are unique both in their scope and in the consistent royal and episcopal impetus that animated them.7

How did it all turn out? Some have been so impressed by the achievement of the late eighth and the ninth centuries that they have argued that a renaissance resulted in the Frankish lands. A little more than 150 years ago in 1839, Jean-Jacques Ampère coined the phrase “Carolingian renaissance.” More recently Pierre Riché mapped out two renaissances—one during the reign of Charlemagne and a second one, more original than the first, during the reign of Charles the Bald in the third quarter of the ninth century. Some have seen the renaissance in education and intellectual life as part of a much larger package, the reform of society as a whole. Others have rightly underscored the limits of the Carolingian achievement and have stressed the disjunction between policies, programs, and ideals on the one hand, and results on the other.8
There can be no doubt, however, that the schools of Carolingian Eu-
rope, given the central position accorded them in the Carolingian educa-
tional program, flourished. Monasteries and cathedrals served as the
principal centers where reforms in copying were carried out, where li-
braries were built up by the deliberate efforts of patrons and collectors,
where new teaching manuals were created for new students, and where
masters were recruited to supervise and direct the teaching of youth. Two
school texts emanated from this charged environment and describe clearly
the focus of Carolingian education and its goals.

The first is Hrabanus Maurus’s treatise, *On Clerical Training*. Hrabanus
(ca. 780/4–856) wrote this handbook when he was a teacher at the mon-
astery of Fulda in response to the insistent urgings of his brothers, who
were preparing for the priesthood. They wanted their teacher to take the
notes he had written out on individual sheets of parchment and put them
together in one volume. The first part of the treatise introduced students
to the Church, the ecclesiastical grades, vestments, the sacraments—
especially baptism and the Eucharist—and to the Mass. The second sec-
tion provided a handy précis of the divine office, the liturgical year, feast
days, hymns, the Bible, and basic prayers and blessings, and heresies. The
final section outlined what priests in training ought to know, “Quid eos
scire et habere conueniat, qui ad sacrum ordinem accedere uolunt.” What
they ought to know was, essentially, the liberal arts curriculum—grammar,
rhetoric, dialectic, mathematics, arithmetic, geometry, music, astronomy,
and the “philosophical” books.9

In Hrabanus Maurus’s scheme, his chapters on the arts were bracketed
by others that stressed the relationship between wisdom and charity and
emphasized the acquisition and practice of virtue. The master also gave
future priests helpful hints on preaching and recommended that they modi-
fy the style of their message for their audience. Education seen from the
perspective of Hrabanus Maurus was essentially Christian and practical in
purpose. Much of the third book of *On Clerical Training* consists of a pas-
tiche of earlier guides to learning—notably Augustine’s *On Christian Do-
ctrine*, but also Gregory the Great’s *Pastoral Care*, Cassiodorus’s *Institutes of
Divine and Secular Learning*, and Isidore of Seville’s *Etymologies*. These
texts, prepared in different times and for different audiences, found new
life and a new audience in the ninth century when woven together by the
Fulda master.

Notker of Saint Gall’s guide to the foremost commentators on Scrip-
ture is another text that can stand both as a product and index of Caro-
lingian educational practice.10 Notker (ca. 840–912) prepared his survey
of biblical exegetes for his former pupil Bishop Salomon of Constance (ca. 860–919/20). The reading program that he set out is heavily dependent on the work of the church fathers—Augustine, Jerome, and Gregory the Great—but also recommends Isidore of Seville, Alcuin, and Hrabanus Maurus.

The study and comprehension of Scripture were the ultimate goals of Carolingian education. Although modern observers of the Carolingian scene may be dazzled by the philosophic brilliance of a John Scottus Eriugena (ca. 810–ca. 877), the exegetical prowess of a Paschasius Radbertus (ca. 790–860), the poetical sophistication of a Theodulf of Orléans (ca. 760–821), or the classical humanism of a Lupus of Ferrières (ca. 805–ca. 862), what united these scholars of different talents and interests and their confreres was the absolute centrality of the Bible in their intellectual life. Notker’s guide to the authorities one needed to consult to comprehend the Bible reflects the preeminence of biblical studies in Carolingian schools and the maturation of Carolingian biblical studies—a program complete with a list of authors had been erected around the Bible.11

Texts such as those of Hrabanus and Notker, however, can be deceptive. In their prescriptive nature they suggest a calm, orderly approach to learning and intellectual life in the Carolingian period. Reality of course was much different. While Carolingian teachers and their charges may have started from the same general intellectual presuppositions—a Christian spiritual worldview; the centrality of the sacred writings; enormous respect for the Fathers; and general acceptance of classical, pagan authors in the canon—what they came up with on their own was often widely divergent and sometimes the source of great anxiety in the world of Carolingian schools. While one master might lash out at the misplaced fondness of Irish scholars for the syllogism and an Irishman might accuse a Saxon of not knowing his Augustine, those who reflected more deeply on the matter concluded that many different streams flowed into the river of Christian wisdom and that there were, in fact, different “philosophical” schools. Harmony, in other words, was illusory, discord was systemic.12

Any attempt to explore the more prosaic world of Carolingian schooling inevitably reaches the same conclusion. Conventional treatments of Carolingian education center on the arts in somewhat schematic fashion. In reality, application of the program was spotty and everywhere unequal. Everything depended on the material and human resources available. Individual interests and talents no doubt played their part as well. Nevertheless, it is possible to present a panoramic view of studies in Carolingian schools across the ninth century while keeping inevitable differences and
variety in mind. The survival of actual schoolbooks that masters and students used will lend detail to the panorama by revealing the specific educational experiences of individual Carolingian masters and students.

The word *schola* had a long tradition in late antiquity and the early Middle Ages. It could refer to a group of any sort, from craftsmen, to cantors, to warriors. The monastery, in Benedict's famous phrase, could be called a "school" for the service of God. By the late eighth and ninth centuries, *schola* came also to mean a grouping of students or disciples around a master pursuing studies that were at once academic and spiritual. The school itself, the place where studies took place, was ill defined. It could be a separate room in a monastic complex, such as the exterior school depicted in the Plan of Saint Gall. It could also be located in a separate building, such as the one mentioned in a charter of 767 at Lucca and the novice's cloister in the Saint Gall Plan, or even in a garden, such as the one in which Fulbert of Chartres taught early in the eleventh century. Hermits were known to teach in their isolated locations where the material surroundings must have been very spartan. At the opposite extreme, school could be held in the royal palace, where under the Carolingians, monarch and master could engage in intellectual conversation, debate, and witty repartee on a whole range of subjects.

Most of the schools in Carolingian Europe about which something is known were associated with monasteries and cathedrals. More than seventy left some evidence of their activities. The most numerous schools of all, those at the parish level, are the least well known. Carolingian bishops in their directives to their priests throughout the ninth century showed themselves faithful to the call for education at the parish level. It was at this level, after all, where the reform of society had to begin, with rural priests serving as the point men, the "soldiers of the Church" (in the words of the *Epistola de litteris colendis*) of the new society. In his statutes for his priests, Archbishop Herardus of Tours (r. 855–66) bid them to establish schools for the training of priests and to have on hand corrected books. Bishop Theodulf of Orleans counseled his priests to read and pray, for reading and prayer were the most effective weapons in overcoming the devil and winning eternal life. He also required them to maintain schools where the children of the faithful might come to learn their letters. Priests were not to charge for this tuition, but were to offer it freely in a spirit of love.

In the face of political turmoil and competing demands for resources, it
must always have been a struggle for dedicated bishops to maintain schools. When Fulco (r. 883–900) became archbishop of Reims at the end of the ninth century, he found the schools for the rural clergy and even the cathedral canons in plain disarray. He restored them by recruiting to Reims two of the leading masters of his day, Remigius of Auxerre (ca. 841–ca. 908) and Hucbald of Saint-Amand (ca. 850–930), and even by teaching himself. If the schools of Reims, one of the richest and most powerful ecclesiastical provinces in the Carolingian realms, led such problematic existences, what must the situation have been like in less fortunate bishoprics? Furthermore, when Remigius of Auxerre and Hucbald of Saint-Amand answered Fulco’s call, the schools of Reims gained at the expense of those at Auxerre and Saint-Amand.

No document takes us inside a parish school, so we cannot gauge how far priests struggling to maintain themselves and their buildings were faithful to the injunctions of their superiors. The few surviving inventories from rural parishes indicate that the resources for education were rudimentary indeed. The occasional books mentioned in the inventories were either liturgical or books of canons, homilies, and penitentials obviously intended for the priest’s own use. The kind of schooling the local priest provided was not book learning. His task was to impart religious instruction to his parishioners. Perhaps in the process he taught children how to write by forming letters on pieces of slate or wax tablets. Further instruction at this level would have prepared them with the skills they needed to enter the “literate community” that Rosamond McKitterick has reconstructed from the study of charters. But the primary purpose of schooling at the parish level was to initiate young people into the community of belief. That community came together in the celebration of the liturgy, in which it was expected that the laity would participate actively. Abbot Angelbert of Saint-Riquier (ca. 755–814), in his ordo for the Ascension Day rogations, specified that the inhabitants of the seven towns surrounding Saint-Riquier would form up in ranks in an elaborate procession with the monks. Boys and girls were to sing the Lord’s Prayer as they processed behind their banners and crosses.

Some of these students may have gone on to cathedral or monastery schools for further training. Theodulf of Orléans suggested this possibility when he gave license to his priests to send their relatives, if they wished, to the cathedral school at Orléans or to one of the local monastic schools. Archbishop Hincmar of Reims (ca. 806–82) in this way launched the education and clerical career of his sister’s son, the future Bishop Hincmar of Laon (ca. 835/38–879). It would be fascinating to
know something of the social backgrounds of students in Carolingian mo­nastic and cathedral schools. The *Admonitio generalis* did direct priests to teach the sons of free men as well as the sons of men in servile condition. But what does this passage mean? That the children of unfree parents usually received schooling from monks and priests? Or that clerical leaders were being told not to assume that the sons of free parents, those who were wealthy and perhaps had clerical and aristocratic ties, were necessarily educated? Although two archbishops of Reims, Ebbo (r. 816–35) in the ninth century and Gerbert (ca. 945–1003; later Pope Sylvester II), in the tenth, emerged from humble beginnings and Paschasius Radbertus, the learned abbot of Corbie, was an orphan, it would stretch the evidence to suggest that education in the Carolingian world opened up paths to advancement to those with humble social backgrounds.

We would also like to know more about the education of women, but the surviving evidence tilts overwhelmingly toward the experiences of male students and masters. It may be, as Suzanne Wemple has suggested, that Carolingian efforts to cloister religious women more closely limited their creative scholarly activity and with it the survival of evidence that would throw light on the schooling of girls. Nevertheless, if Carolingian women with the remarkable exception of the noble laywoman Dhuoda, were not writers, as Christians they had to participate to some extent in the literate culture of the Carolingian world.

Here and there, glimpses of educated Carolingian women emerge from the shadows. Gisla (ca. 757–810) and Rotrud (ca. 775–810), the sister and daughter of Charlemagne, in a very cleverly argued letter implored Alcuin to write a commentary on the Gospel of John for them because their own studies were stymied by the complexity and verbiage of Augustine. Another Gisla, the wife of Count Eberhard of Friuli (d. 864), was a reader, and so were her daughters. Among the friends and relatives to whom Count Eccard of Mâcon (d. ca. 876) willed his books were several women.

On a more generalized and unfortunately more anonymous level, women in religious communities engaged in intellectual pursuits. Paschasius Radbertus received his early education from the nuns at Soissons and always remembered them fondly for it. Later in life he wrote a commentary for them on Psalm 44, a wedding song, which describes a resplendent princess and her virgin companions entering the palace of the king. At their request he composed a treatise on the perpetual virginity of Mary. When he wrote to them on the Assumption of Mary, he paid tribute to their intellectual accomplishments (as well as his own!) by masking his
treatise as a letter from Jerome to Paula and Eustochium, the fourth-century Roman noblewomen whose intelligent questions spurred some of Jerome's exegetical work. Perhaps at Soissons "Paula" was Abbess Theodrada, just as her cousin, Charlemagne, was known as David to his court scholars.\textsuperscript{32}

The work of women as expert scribes at Chelles has been recognized for some time.\textsuperscript{33} It also appears that nuns working at Corbie, Soissons, or Noirmoutiers should be credited with the almost forty surviving manuscripts copied in the well-known Corbie "a–b" script.\textsuperscript{34} It may well be that additional evidence for scribal and intellectual activity by women remains to be discovered in medical manuscripts that deal with maladies specific to women and in the histories of the Carolingian period.\textsuperscript{35}

One of the rare references to schooling for girls is instructive. Hincmar of Reims in his \textit{De ecclesiis et capellis} alluded to schools for little girls (puellulae) but in the context of separating the educational experiences of girls from that of boys.\textsuperscript{36} This document, of course, can be read in two ways. Obviously, boys and girls were being educated together. And just as obviously, one influential official wanted to stop the practice. Did he succeed? There is no evidence to answer that question in specific terms, but the general picture of female participation in Carolingian educational and intellectual life is quite clear. Women such as Gisla and Rotrud and the nuns who sheltered Paschasius Radbertus were educated to participate in Christian life but not to engage creatively in intellectual life. Something of the frustration intelligent women must have experienced can be sensed in a petition one master (known only as "A") carried on behalf of two nuns, one his cousin, the other the daughter of Count Baldwin of Flanders. The two women had asked "A" to intercede with his own master, an otherwise unknown but obviously highly revered teacher, "E," so that they might study with "E" and learn from him everything that pertains to salvation. "When I met with them," "A" wrote, "they pestered me to intercede with your holiness for them."\textsuperscript{37} When women had questions, they sought out sympathetic men. While girls learned the basics and were led in the direction of sewing, embroidery, copying, and pious devotion, their brothers headed off for more intellectual pursuits.

Tutelage in the cathedral and monastic schools began at the elementary level, with instruction in reading, writing, computus, and chant. Training in one skill went hand in hand with training in another. Students began by learning to recognize the letters of the alphabet singly and then in combination. One way to help recognize letters was to copy them out. Repetitious writing practice took place on wooden tablets inlaid with wax,
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which could be scraped and recycled into a smooth surface, ready once again for the child's stylus. Adults continued to use these tablets for correspondence. More permanent examples of writing instruction occur on the flyleaves of manuscripts in pen trials by beginners, whose crude alphabets reveal their fledgling efforts or whose apostrophes exhort them to learn how to write: "Who knows not how to write is a living ass"; "Holy Mary, teach me how to write with a quill"; "Learn, boy, how to get money with skilled hands"; "Learn how to write, boy, so that you are not mocked." Sometimes masters would copy out passages for beginners to emulate.  

The student's formal exposure to the mechanics of reading and writing was continually reinforced outside the classroom. Ecclesiastical buildings were flooded with inscriptions of all kinds: incised and painted elegant display capitals, as well as more spontaneous graffiti, on walls, tombs, and even floors. Young readers could sharpen their budding skills by deciphering the more public examples of literate culture they daily encountered.

In learning to read and especially to pronounce Latin, Carolingian students were taking their beginning steps in the most complex and revolutionary aspects of the Carolingian intellectual reform program. Even after years of study, accomplished scholars would still worry about their Latin pronunciation or beg indulgence for the rusticity of their prose. These were no mere topoi or displays of false modesty. The author of the Admonitio generalis and the Epistola de litteris colendis clearly emphasized the proper use of language in reading and chanting. It was no longer sufficient, in the words of the Epistola de litteris colendis, for the faithful to be fortified by the appearance of monks; they had also to be instructed by what the monks said and sang. And what they said and sang were the words of God and words in praise of God, words that had to be pronounced correctly to be effective. What was new and revolutionary was the definition of what constituted correct language.

As Roger Wright has shown, inhabitants of the Romance-speaking areas of Carolingian Europe spoke and wrote the same language, "early Romance," until about the year 800. After that date those who could write continued to do so in the traditional language while spoken languages began to diverge. Everyone in the Romance-speaking lands spoke the ordinary vernacular of their regions. Children who went on to school, however, began to learn how to read in a new way, producing one sound for each written letter—precisely the way in which Anglo-Saxon and Irish "foreigners" had learned to pronounce Latin in their Germanic and Celtic cultural milieus. Alcuin and numerous other Anglo-Saxon and Irish masters applied their archaic Latin pronunciation as the norm for spoken
Latin in Carolingian Europe. The norms instituted by the reformers had a profound impact not only on Latin, which Wright calls an "invention of the Carolingian Renaissance," but also on Carolingian culture—the language of the schools was no longer the language of the people.41

The first major test of a student's progress in learning was mastery of the Psalter. At the very earliest stages of schooling, growth in wisdom, as Hrabanus Maurus had hoped, was to be accompanied by growth in virtue. The reading primer was also a spiritual primer. Many students, after continual drill and repetition, succeeded in committing the Psalter to memory—a prodigious task from our perspective, but not an unprecedented one in predominantly oralic societies.42

The Psalms remained embedded forever in the minds of Carolingian scholars and flowed from the tips of their pens into their writings more often, in most cases, than any other text. Lupus of Ferrières in his correspondence cited Augustine seventeen times, Boethius eight, Cicero twenty-one, Aulus Gellius four, Jerome twenty-four, Priscian thirteen, Servius six, and Virgil thirteen. However, the overwhelming majority of citations in his letters come from the Bible, and of these, forty-four were inspired by the Psalter.43 John Scottus's fifteen references to the Psalms in his Exposition on the Celestial Hierarchies exceed the number of references to any other text, secular, sacred, or patristic, with the exception of the Gospel of John, which appears twenty-one times in the work.44 "Beatus uir," the opening words of the First Psalm, occurs frequently among the pen trials of beginning students and even of more advanced scribes, who copied out the phrase almost instinctively when testing their quill points on the flyleaves of manuscripts.

The Psalms are songs, and while learning to read them, students learned to sing them and thus received their introduction to chant. The observation of the daily office made chant a lifelong practice. Neumic notations, the early medieval system of assigning graphic values to melody, appear as apparently random jottings in many nonmusical manuscripts, thus suggesting that students thought about or practiced their lessons away from the choir. A tenth-century manuscript of Ambrosiaster's Commentary on the Epistle to the Romans preserves on its back flyleaf a rare look into a master of chant's class. The teacher, an otherwise unknown Adalus, listed in the manuscript the names of his students and their responsibilities. After Geroldus sang the invitation, two groups of nine students each sang the lessons for matins.45

Beginning students also had to tackle the computus and thereby became skilled in arithmetical reckoning.46 Future priests and monks would often draw on the practical skill of manipulating numbers in the perfor-
mance of their sacred and mundane duties. They had to know how to calculate dates of holy days such as Easter and the equinoxes and how to use the various tables that made that task easier. Collection of the tithe and its distribution into fourths also required arithmetical learning, as did calculation of harvests from fields or receipts from peasants, which often fell to clergymen. Carolingian masters were at their cleverest when attempting to teach arithmetical reasoning. A series of "story problems" attributed to Alcuin would not be unfamiliar to modern students. "Suppose," one *propositio* suggested, "that three men are traveling together, each with his own sister. When the six travelers came to a river, they found a boat that could carry only two persons at a time over the river. One of the men lusted after the sisters of his friends. How did they manage the crossing and at the same time preserve the girls from harm?"

Students who learned the elements of reading, writing, chant, and computus were ready for their own crossing into the world of the liberal arts. Here the terrain got technical very fast. General introductions, such as Martianus Capella's *Marriage of Philology and Mercury* and the relevant books of Isidore of Seville's *Etymologies* helped, but these encyclopedias needed their own commentaries. Martianus Capella's fifth-century allegory of the liberal arts especially required explanation for Carolingian students, as the many ninth-century commentaries on that text attest.

Other general problems attended the study of the liberal arts. One concerned the relationship of the arts to each other and to the general concept of Christian wisdom. Various schema were proposed, but none gained the field. To complicate matters even further, so-called minor or mechanical arts jostled for attention in the curriculum. These included astrology, medicine, and surprisingly, the arts of the plowman, fuller, and mason. While the arrangement of the curriculum might seem a pedantic detail, it actually sheds significant light on what Carolingian masters thought about wisdom, its constituent parts, and the relationships of these parts to each other. In the tenth century, Otric of Magdeburg sent a student spy into the classroom of Gerbert of Aurillac when he heard that, in his discussion of theoretical knowledge, Gerbert subordinated physics to mathematics. When the student erroneously confirmed the misinformation that had come Otric's way, the stage was set for a personal debate on the various subdivisions of knowledge, which took place in Ravenna before no less a personage than Emperor Otto II (r. 983–1002) of the Holy Roman Empire.

While such lofty discussions probably rarely beset Carolingian classrooms, nagging concerns about the appropriateness of the liberal arts in Christian education lingered even after scholars such as Alcuin and John...
Scottus "christianized" the arts by demonstrating their utility in a Christian framework. But syllogistic reasoning was often suspect, and Ermanric of Ellwangen (ca. 815–74) even dreamt that Virgil had come to haunt him. One master toward the middle of the ninth century felt compelled to justify the study of pagan literature in Christian schools by citing the opinions of patristic authorities who had dealt with the same problem centuries earlier.

Despite the reservations of some about the appropriateness of the arts and quarrels among masters about their definition and relationship to each other, study of the arts became the bedrock of Carolingian schooling, the foundation that some students used to mount to the highest study of all, the study of the wisdom and mysteries of Scripture.

This journey began with the study of Latin grammar. Carolingian schools inherited a rich harvest of Latin and early medieval texts with which to begin the study of Latin language and literature. Anglo-Saxon and Irish scholars also brought their books to the Continent in the eighth and ninth centuries. Many of the grammars they carried in their pouches had dropped out of circulation centuries earlier and were rare on the Continent. Masters such as Alcuin and Sedulius Scottus (act. ca. 840–ca. 860) added to these resources by producing grammatical commentaries that reflected their own “Latin as a foreign language” approach to grammar and pronunciation.

Grammatical studies proceeded along three tracks simultaneously. Depending on local resources, a manual such as Donatus’s *Ars maior* and *Ars minor* introduced students to the parts of speech. Many of these manuals are organized in a dialogue format. Donatus’s manual, for example, begins with the question, “How many parts of speech are there?” and continues with the answer, “Eight.” “What are they?” “Noun, pronoun, verb, adverb, participle, conjunction, preposition, and interjection.” The first chapter of the *Ars minor* introduces the student to the noun: “What is a noun?” it begins. The grammar then goes on to explore the attributes of nouns. The same strategy is followed with the other parts of speech. Many grammars also discussed bad grammar—technically barbarismus, solecismus, acrylogia, cacemphaton, pleonasmos, perissologia, macrologia, tautologia, eclipse, tapinosis, cacosyntheton, and amphibilia—and provided examples of each kind of grammatical vice.

What must have been obvious to a Latin speaker in the late Empire, when Donatus taught St. Jerome, was not so obvious to Frankish students in the ninth century. Carolingian masters had to explain the grammarians. Donatus’s simple query about the number of the parts of speech and the single
word reply, “Eight,” elicited fifty-five lines of comment in the Corpus Christianorum edition of Sedulius Scottus’s commentary on Donatus. Sedulius warned his students that the response, “Eight,” did not mean that the parts of speech were something named “eight,” but that there were eight parts of speech. Where Donatus’s manual listed the eight parts, Sedulius’s commentary briefly defined each one for learners who may have encountered the words *nomen* and *verbum* for the first time. Sixteen lines of Sedulius’s comment on the first two lines of Donatus anticipated a question about why there were not fewer or more than eight parts of speech. His answer was that the human voice articulates only eight properties such as naming, or describing actions or feelings, or interjecting.  

While learning the mechanics of grammar, students embarked on a second, parallel track and began to expand their Latin vocabularies by studying glossaries and specialized word lists. Glossaries were essentially dictionaries that presented simpler equivalents for Latin words. The various glossaries available are generally known to us by their first words: *Abauus, Abolita, Abrogaus, Abstrusa*. The *Liber glossarum*, with its more than 500,000 entries, was one of the most useful guides to Latin vocabulary. With entries culled from a vast variety of authors and works—including Jerome, Ambrose, Augustine, Isidore of Seville, Virgil, Orosius, and medical and scientific texts—all alphabetically arranged, the *Liber glossarum* was more an encyclopedia than a dictionary.

While modern critical editions of these books seem lifeless on the printed page, the actual manuscripts used in the schools are fascinating. When Carolingian masters and students entered marginal marks, underlinings, notes, and additions into their glossaries, they were also entering into the historical record impressive evidence of their creative use of these storehouses of Latin language and lore. The flyleaves of glossaries are especially interesting for the fragments of texts and odd notes they preserve from the classroom experience. The flyleaves of one copy of the *Liber glossarum* bear an extract from Bede’s (672/3-735) guide to Latin pronunciation and spelling, the *De orthographia*, intended undoubtedly to be at the ready when troublesome words were encountered.

Two kinds of specialized glossaries also supported grammatical studies. Bilingual glossaries helped students to bridge the gap between the vernacular and Latin. This is not to suggest that the vernacular was disdained in the schools. Lupus of Ferrières sent three young monks to the monastery of Prüm precisely to learn German because it was such a useful language to know. The councils of Tours in 813 and Mainz in 847 as well as the statutes of Vesoul recommended explicitly that priests be able to
preach in the languages of their parishioners. To earn entry to the higher wisdom defined by the arts and the Scriptures, however, students needed all the help they could get to move beyond their vernaculars to the comprehension of Latin. Surviving Greek-Latin glossaries and word lists suggest that students could even advance beyond Latin to the study of Greek. Enough Greek shows up in Carolingian poetry, exegeses, and philosophy generally and in the court of Charles the Bald specifically to prove that some Carolingian students learned Greek, as far as one was able to do so in the ninth century.

A second kind of specialized glossary helped students to read specific authors. Not quite commentaries, these reading aids, often called scholia, explained the rare and not so rare vocabulary of authors such as Virgil and Sedulius. First-time readers of the *Aeneid* must have found it useful to learn that *Carthago* was an African city or that a *thena* was a cart or vehicle in which likenesses of the gods were drawn. Classical texts were not the only ones that inspired glossaries. Students also needed help with the Bible. A whole battery of pedagogical aids—ranging from Jerome's guides, to Hebrew personal and place names, to contemporary ninth-century glosses on obscure biblical vocabulary—helped with the task.

Glossaries, of course, were not used in isolation. The third track in grammatical studies was actual study of the authoritative texts. Reading the so-called school texts, a canon of classical and late antique authors, taught students proper Latin usage and the arts. The works of the Fathers and the Bible also provided bases for religious instruction. Carolingian masters and their students faced a formidable challenge when they confronted the great minds of antiquity and late antiquity and the word of God itself.

Carolingian masters proved what adept teachers they were by responding to the challenge with an outpouring of commentaries on secular and sacred writings in order to accommodate these difficult texts to their audiences. Their strategies could be as simple as using a system of marks or letters of the alphabet to rearrange the order of words in a text so that a student could untangle the sometimes tortuous periods of classical and patristic Latin. Or they produced full-blown commentaries on Virgil, Boethius, Martianus Capella, and other classical and late antique authors.

The case of the Martianus Capella commentaries is especially illustrative of classroom technique. The commentaries usually begin with an accessus, an introduction that sets the author and the work in historical and literary context. The accessus was arranged around the seven periochae: an account
of the author's life; the title of the work; the quality or kind of work it is; the intention of the work; the number of books it contains; the order of the books; and an explanation of the work.\textsuperscript{67} The commentary then proceeded to explain selected words or passages in order.

The explanations generally were not profound, and one looks long and hard for flights of creative interpretation in these commentaries. The fact of the matter is that they were intended for beginners and the needs of the schoolroom. They also were never considered complete and "published" as finished works—a problem that has especially bedeviled editors of the Martianus Capella commentaries.\textsuperscript{68} One suspects that when a student transferred a master's comments from wax tablets to parchment for later use the master's comments were "frozen." Meanwhile, the master's commentary would continue to evolve, eventually to be recorded by another student in a slightly different version.

When it came to commenting on the Fathers or on the books of the Bible, Carolingian teachers realized that they were on quite different terrain. While many of these commentaries were written on demand for ecclesiastical or secular superiors, the needs of the classroom inspired a number of them. Christian of Stablo (act. ca. 860–80) wrote a commentary on Matthew because his students, like Gisla and Rotrud earlier in the century, could not fathom the gospel even after reading it through twice and consulting Jerome's commentary. Christian adapted techniques from the study of the liberal arts in his own commentary on Matthew and began by discussing the \textit{tempus}, \textit{locus}, and \textit{persona} of the book.\textsuperscript{69} Ercanbert of Fulda (act. 846–65) wrote out the oral comments of his master Rudolf on the Gospel of John, "neither adding or cutting anything," because he thought the master's words would fade from memory.\textsuperscript{70} Students and other readers placed an almost impossible burden on Carolingian biblical commentators. They wanted guides that were clear, brief, and based on as many of the Fathers as possible. This required no little ingenuity and courage on the part of the masters, who were well aware of the danger of misrepresenting the authorities or falling into doctrinal error.\textsuperscript{71}

Grammar, understood broadly as secular and divine literature, occupied most students most of the time in Carolingian schools. One experienced reader of Priscian's \textit{Institutiones grammaticae} neatly captured the centrality of grammatical studies when he wrote at the end of his copy of Priscian that "It's like a sea without a shore / Once you fall in, you rise no more."\textsuperscript{72} But while grammar and grammatical thinking dominated Carolingian intellectual life, in the same way that dialectic and mathematics would
provide the organizing and interpretive principles for later ages, study of the other arts was pursued for its own sake and for what it could contribute to the comprehension of texts.

Rhetoric and dialectic were especially useful skills. The boundary between grammar and rhetoric is not an easy one to draw, especially since the inappropriateness of classical rhetoric for public discourse by preachers, judges, and public officials in the Carolingian world meant that rhetoric became a literary skill. Knowledge of the figures of speech as well as of the technique of constructing an argument was useful in literary exercises and treatises, but discouraged in public speech. Preachers from the time of Caesarius of Arles in the sixth century through the Carolingian period were reminded to preach in a simple, clear fashion and not to make declamations as if they were performing before scholars. In fact, Carolingian preachers were encouraged to use the vernacular, Thiotiscam (German) and the rustica Romana lingua, since German speakers could not understand Latin, and Romance speakers could not understand the new Carolingian pronunciation of Latin. In their writings and their discourse among themselves, however, they emulated the style of the classical masters, whose examples were reinforced by late antique Christian authors such as Cyprian, Ambrose, Augustine, Hilary, and Pope Leo I.

Students in the schools learned how to write letters of condolence with all the stock phrases, how to describe a king, how to compose a debate between winter and spring, how to write encomia, and how to draft letters announcing the election of a bishop or the death of a member of the community. It was not plagiarism but schooling that led Einhard (ca. 770–840) to portray Charlemagne in terms Suetonius had used to describe Roman emperors. When biblical commentators defended their tactic of borrowing from many different authors, it naturally occurred to them to employ metaphors. Extracts from a variety of authorities in a commentary were likened to the pipes of an organ, which individually emit different sounds, but when played together bring forth a harmonious melody. Or, by way of justifying the eclectic nature of their works, they suggested that the ideal beautiful woman is one composed of the different attributes of several pretty women. The most sophisticated practitioners of Carolingian rhetoric knew how to use rhymed prose, parallelism, panegyric, and other rhetorical skills to good effect.

It was once thought that dialectical studies in Carolingian schools were but a small peak in a yawning intellectual chasm between Augustine and Boethius on the one hand and the masters of the eleventh century on the other. Most comment has focused on the seeming Irish penchant for syl-
logistic analysis, on Fridugisus of Tours’s (d. 834) treatises on “nothing” and “shadows,” and on John Scottus’s use of dialectical reasoning in his work on predestination. Interest in dialectic in Carolingian schools was both deeper and wider than these seemingly isolated examples suggest. The sources for Carolingian dialectical studies were largely Claudianus Mamertus, Augustine, and Boethius. Alcuin, as he did in so many other areas of Carolingian pedagogy, oriented dialectical studies when he compiled a manual on the subject, the *De dialectica*, which, while it derived from earlier authorities, addressed issues of particular interest to Alcuin and his circle.

Alcuin’s teachings and those of Candidus Wizo, his pupil, circulated throughout the ninth century as the *Dicta Albini* and the *Dicta Candidi*. Students who studied these texts considered such thorny matters as the Trinity, God’s vision, Christ’s physical and spiritual body, and the existence of God. Interest in dialectic was spurred by late eighth- and ninth-century debates on images, predestination, the Trinity, and on universals. By the second half of the ninth century, a considerable set of glosses had developed on Aristotle’s ten categories, especially at the school of Auxerre. Scholars such as Ratramnus (act. 844–68) and the shadowy but brilliant Hadoard at Corbie found in the categories a useful analytical tool when they tried to unravel complex matters and arrive at precise definitions.

Evidence of dialectical reasoning in the *Libri Carolini* and in the writings of Alcuin, Fridugisus, John Scottus, Heiric and Remigius of Auxerre, Ratramnus and Hadoard of Corbie, and many others is incomprehensible without acknowledging dialectical studies in the schools. Some of these masters taught dialectic, and their lessons have survived in the form of glosses and the *dicta*. Students practiced formal syllogistic exercises on the flyleaves of manuscripts. They learned that God is not “anywhere,” because only bodies can be contained in places, and since God does not have a body, he cannot be “anywhere.” Even in their grammatical studies, students were sometimes led to consider whether nouns were only names or whether they stood for creatures.

Theological questions, especially questions about the Trinity, the existence of God, and God’s attributes, animated dialectical studies. Alcuin praised the dialectical prowess of a nun and invited her to consider fifteen dialectical *interrogationes*. Each question focused on the relationship of Christ to the Father and drew forth a response that led to an inference and a question that was intended to refute Adoptionist heretics. Proceeding “per interrogationes et responsiones” proved that Christ was truly and fully God. Another set of logical questions about God’s existence began
by asking "What, if God exists, do you think he is?" The interlocutor's response that God is the good of which there was none better and the mighty of which there is none mightier set off a string of such interchanges, which concluded seventeen questions later with the affirmation that God does indeed exist.\textsuperscript{84}

The dialectical question-and-response format in which these issues were explored was undoubtedly very useful in the classroom, where students learned by repetition and memorization. The classroom format crossed over into written texts. It survives in letters scholars wrote to each other and even provided the formal structure for biblical commentaries in the ninth century.

If modern students of Carolingian education have paid less attention to the quadrivial arts—arithmetic, geometry, astronomy, and music—it has not been because these subjects were neglected in the schools. One suspects that historians, whose training is primarily humanistic and literary, find little of interest and less to understand in treatises on planetary motion, calendar reckoning, or musical theory. But there was a lively interest in these subjects in the schools, and computus was expressly required as a field of study in the \textit{Admonitio generalis}. The practical necessity simply of telling time and charting the course of the seasons and years required that all educated persons be trained in the computistical arts of arithmetic, geometry, and astronomy.\textsuperscript{85}

Erecting buildings, defining boundaries, calculating ratios, charting the harmony of the celestial bodies, and making accurate translations from one calendar system to another—Roman to Hebrew, for example—taxed the ingenuity of teachers and students alike. The debates over images and the Trinity in the Carolingian court had their analogue in court battles over changes in the calendar for 797 and a special meeting called in Aachen in 809 to resolve computistical problems.\textsuperscript{86} Einhard possessed a formidable scientific mind, which has led at least one modern scholar to call him an "engineer."\textsuperscript{87} Lupus of Ferrières was fascinated by a comet, watched it closely for several days, and described it in impressive detail before it disappeared.\textsuperscript{88} One of the little-noticed benefits of the microscopic attention paid to the Plan of Saint Gall is what it has revealed about Carolingian draftsmanship and measurement.\textsuperscript{89}

Medieval manuscript collections are rich in manuals and school exercises that reflect the important role scientific studies played in the Carolingian schools. The fundamental works that undergirded scientific studies in the schools were Boethius's treatises on arithmetic and geometry; Martianus Capella's liberal arts manual; Cassiodorus's \textit{Institutes}; the works of
Isidore of Seville, Pliny, Vitruvius, and Victorius of Aquitaine; and the corpus of Roman surveyors. Bede's works, especially his *De natura rerum*, *De temporibus liber*, and *De temporum ratione*, belong in a special category. Bede had a real genius for his subject and was an admirable synthesizer. Carolingian masters added their own works to the schoolroom shelves. Dicuil, an Irish monk and palace intimate during the first quarter of the ninth century, wrote a treatise on astronomy and one on the measurement of the earth. Hrabanus Maurus prepared a computus manual. Helperic turned his lectures on Bede's computus into a book because his students could not remember his comments and found Bede rough going.

Everywhere Carolingian masters added notes to their school texts in order to break complex material down into more elementary forms. It was no easy task to correlate the moon's phases with planetary formations or to teach students calendar systems that came in 8-, 84-, 85-, 112-, and 532-year cycles. Remembering how to find the nones, ides, and calends of each month required Frankish students to think as Romans—a virtually impossible task. But they could memorize verses similar to our "Thirty days has September" to help them: "Nonae aprillis norunt quinos. . . ." One ninth-century master when teaching Bede's *De temporum ratione* gave examples, recorded in the margins of his manuscript, based on the current year, 873, so his students could more easily comprehend Bede's lesson.

This essentially pedagogical concern encouraged masters to try to make scientific principles visual in the form of graphs and charts. Most of these illustrations have to do with planetary configurations, but graphic depictions of all kinds of subjects, from *Sapientia* itself and its constituent parts to musical tropes to the morbidity of diseases, were represented by diagrams. These illustrations often are quite complex. Teachers used them to convey verbal doctrine in images of high visual impact and thus moved between two kinds of cognition. A diagram in a Fleury manuscript depicts the relationships among the four elements, the four seasons, the four humors, and the four ages of man all in one image. Michael Evans has studied the implications of this kind of thinking, or what Bruce Eastwood has called the "submerged assumptions" of visual images, for the high Middle Ages. The inquiry ought also to be extended to the drawings that accompanied school texts of the Carolingian period, for while texts may have inspired the images, the images pushed thought beyond the limits imposed by words.

The most wide-ranging of all the liberal arts studied in the schools was music, or harmonics as it was sometimes called. Musical intervals could be
correlated with the distances between planets as Pliny the Elder taught. Or as Aurelian of Réôme, mid-ninth-century author of a De musica, taught, music is superior to all arts because it is the art of the angels, and its power moves even the beasts of the earth, the serpents, dolphins, and vultures. Between these celestial and terrestrial extremes toiled Carolingian students, who needed to know both the theory and practice of music.

A basic knowledge of chant learned at an early age no doubt sufficed for those for whom the daily office was no more than a routine chore to be fulfilled as rapidly as possible. Masters, however, had to work hard to keep up with the changes in Carolingian musical theory and practice. For one thing, the different practices in the Carolingian lands, where uniformity in the praise of the Lord was the goal, made the choir a potential battlefield. Charlemagne himself entered upon that contested ground at least five times, according to Notker of Saint Gall's rendition of the great emperor's life. Whether or not the incidents Notker reported actually took place is beside the point. What is clear is that Notker knew implicitly that his audience would understand the pressure to perform perfectly the public praise of God and thus would appreciate and find plausible stories about monks who could not sing or who sang incorrectly.

Recognizing incorrect chant and reforming it were two different things. In the generation after Charlemagne, Helisachar, Louis the Pious's chancellor from 814 to 819 and abbot of Saint-Aubin in Angers and later of Saint-Riquier, recalled to Bishop Nidibrius of Narbonne (r. ca. 799—ca. 822), a fellow palace intimate, how discordant the admixture of Roman and Frankish usages had become. In the preface to his new antiphonary, which he sent to Nidibrius, Helisachar was sensitive to the potential for tension between tradition embodied in sacred verse and the demands of art, but in the end his antiphonary endorsed modifications made by "melodiae artis magistros."

On the theoretical side, the traditional curriculum based on Augustine, Cassiodorus, Isidore of Seville, and the ninth book of Martianus Capella was transformed forever by the assimilation into the curriculum of Boethius's De musica in the ninth century. The integration of Boethius's Platonic and Pythagorean musical theories into the Carolingian schoolroom apparently was first attempted by John Scottus Eriugena in his commentary on Martianus Capella. At least one music teacher confessed that Boethius's theories were beyond his comprehension. By the end of the ninth century, however, Remigius of Auxerre was using Boethian theory with confidence and two anonymous ninth-century schoolbooks, the Alia musica and the Musica enchiriadis, borrowed extensively from it.
No tour of the Carolingian schoolroom and its curriculum would be complete without at least mentioning some of the many other studies that occupied students and masters. Specialized studies of script, Tironian notes, law, medicine, the sacraments, and the skills of the chancery and, especially, the liturgy were all pursued in Carolingian schools. But no school ever offered the full range of theoretical and practical studies that was possible in the Carolingian realms. Everything depended on local resources, interests, and talents. Indeed, the unevenness of the curriculum fostered interdependence among centers and stimulated masters and students to create networks that linked schools, libraries, and, of course, people. The studies of three students who became masters in their own turn offer a more local and personal perspective on schooling in the Carolingian world.

Walahfrid Strabo was born in 808 or 809 and as a young boy entered the monastery of Reichenau. He studied at Fulda under Hrabanus Maurus, but returned to Reichenau where he eventually became abbot. His active political and scholarly life was cut short when he drowned in the Loire River during the summer of 849. If Walahfrid can no longer be credited as author of the Glossa ordinaria, he still did manage to edit the histories of Einhard and Thegan and to produce a body of poetry and saints’ lives that have earned him a secure place among second-generation Carolingian scholars.

In 1950 Bernhard Bischoff announced his discovery of Walahfrid’s personal notebook, a manuscript preserved today at Saint Gall. Walahfrid Strabo began his notebook at Reichenau in 825, when he was about sixteen years old, and took it with him when he went to Fulda for further schooling. It continued to serve him when he became a teacher. The earliest parts of the manuscript contain Hrabanus Maurus’s computus. The student’s interest in reckoning remained with him throughout his short life. Hands W III and W IV, which Bischoff identified as Walahfrid’s mature script, copied the portions of the manuscript containing extracts from Bede’s De temporibus and various chronicles and calendars. Walahfrid was interested in other subjects as well. One hundred and sixty-nine pages of the 394-page manuscript contain a variety of grammatical texts including Donatus, Priscian, Bede’s De arte metrica and De schematibus et tropis. A third interest is represented by a series of medical texts and extracts from Palladius’s De agricultura and Bede’s De natura rerum.

Some of the texts Walahfrid copied into his personal manuscript reveal
preoccupations that are reflected in his own literary works such as the poem on his garden, *De cultura hortorum*. But to see the Saint Gall manuscript only as a window into Walahfrid’s work would be to miss its significance. His notebook’s broad-gauged eclecticism mirrors his schooling and the lifelong appeal that grammar and the computus had for the poet and hagiographer.

The career of Heiric of Auxerre outwardly mirrored that of Walahfrid Strabo. Born in 841, Heiric also kept a personal notebook. In the margins alongside the calendar in his book he recorded the significant events of his life, including his tonsuring in 850 at age nine, the beginning of his subdiaconate in 859 at age eighteen, and his ordination as a priest in 865 when he was twenty-four years old. Although he began his schooling at Auxerre, Heiric traveled to Ferrières and then to Soissons to complete his education. He left a partial record of his early education in the *Collectanea*, a compilation of notes he put together from the time he studied first with Haimo of Auxerre (d. ca. 875) and then with Lupus of Ferrières. These *ludicra pulchra*, as Heiric called them, consisted of two sorts: secular learning picked up in Lupus’s school and divine wisdom learned at the feet of Haimo.

Lupus taught Heiric to rifle classical authors for pithy sayings that could adorn his own writings. This part of the *Collectanea* presents a fine example of grammatical and rhetorical studies in the Carolingian schools. Most of the extracts Heiric copied at Ferrières were plucked from Valerius Maximus’s book of memorable sayings and deeds compiled originally in the first century A.D. This treasure trove of lore about famous Greeks and Romans and historical events in the ancient world could be plundered to elevate the tone and style of one’s own work. A section on prodigies records the story about the bees who deposited honey on the lips of sleeping Plato when he was a babe in the cradle as a prediction of the future sweetness of his thought. Lupus’s student had at the ready pithy sayings on dreams, miracles, military discipline, patience, abstinence, continence, poverty (the story of Cornelia and her sons, her true “jewels”), conjugal love, old age, and reverence toward parents. Lupus also provided his students with short portraits of the Roman emperors based on Suetonius.

The tone of the second section of the *Collectanea* changes dramatically. Not only was the tuition Heiric received from Haimo different in content—it focused on the Bible—it’s format was also different. Haimo taught by posing contrary questions, and thus Heiric’s *Scholia quaestionum* preserves puzzles such as this: How is it possible that Christ in Luke 18:19 says that “No one is good but God alone,” while earlier in the same book
(Luke 7:45) we find the statement, “A good man draws what is good from the store of goodness in his heart?” Again, if avarice is the root of all evil, how is it that all sin begins with pride? Heiric’s record of his education with Haimo reveals a more active, controversial strain than is suggested by the essentially passive mastery of rhetorical embellishments he imbibed from Lupus of Ferrières.

No information survives about the early education of Martin Hiberniensis, or Martin the Irishman. In the margins of his calendar Martin recorded his birth date, 819. A colleague recorded his death in 875 in the same calendar. Martin probably received his first schooling in Ireland or England and came to the Continent as an adult, much like his Irish contemporaries, John Scottus Eriugena and Sedulius Scottus. Martin taught the arts and Greek and put together an impressive collection of books, most of which passed to masters who succeeded him at the cathedral school of Laon.

One of the books Martin used, MS Laon, Bibliotheque Municipale, 265, has not attracted much attention, but it was carefully put together by him and reflects his interest in pastoral and dogmatic teaching. At first glance, the manual he used to teach his students what they had to know as priests appears paleographically as a miscellaneous hodgepodge of texts from at least seven different manuscripts. If Martin had not written a table of contents for the entire collection on the first folio of his manuscript, one might easily surmise that it had been put together much later by some librarian interested in preserving fragments from various manuscripts.

The 191 leaves of Martin’s collection contain some twenty-six separate texts. Many of them can be classified as broadly doctrinal. Excerpts from two sermons of Gregory the Great treat the resurrection of the body and the virtue of charity. A passage from Gregory’s *Moralia* conveys a handy précis on the seven principal vices. Jerome’s letter to Rusticus on penance drew special attention from Martin since he marked it with his characteristic asterisk several times. Important summaries of basic Christian belief appear in the manuscript in the form of Augustine’s sermon on the Symbol, Gennadius of Marseilles’s *De ecclesiasticis dogmatibus*, and the second book of Isidore of Seville’s *Differences*.

Several of the texts concern christological themes. The *Acta Pilati*, an apocryphal work, recounts the trial of Christ. An excerpt from Jerome’s commentary on Daniel describes the Antichrist. Two texts from the pen of Fulgentius of Ruspe, the *Liber ad Donatum* and the *De fide*, address a burning issue in the ninth century, the Trinity. Not all the texts deal with doctrinal or theological matters. Many of them center on the practice of
Christian life and have as their focus the Carolingian laity. These texts concern baptism, marriage, blessings for the people, the Mass, and the Mass for the Dead. Eight sermons, including one that warns against idolatry and other pagan practices, appear primarily pastoral in intent. Martin’s manuscript also contains an unpublished Carolingian commentary on the Lord’s Prayer.114

Martin’s manuscript brings this essay full circle again to the text with which this exploration into the schools of the Carolingian world began—the Admonitio generalis. When it ordained the establishment of schools, the Admonitio also provided for checking the competency of parish priests. Subsequent Carolingian legislation and episcopal statutes throughout the ninth century elaborated a system of what might be called clerical quality control. The subjects parish priests had to know and to be able to communicate to the Carolingian people are mirrored almost perfectly by the texts in Martin’s manuscript.115

These cameos of Walahfrid Strabo, Heiric of Auxerre, and Martin Hibernensis as students and masters only begin to illustrate the richness and variety of Carolingian schooling.116 Multiplied many times over, the studies and work of Carolingian masters and students testify eloquently to the creative talents that were unleashed in the schools in the attempt, as McKitterick has noted, “to put a social ideal into practice, and to create a society with a future.”117
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